App F. IX-4

Previous references

1931.
(Muzrai)

File No. 305 - 31

Serial Nos. 1 - 7

Later references

Mlg 130-32-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7

Par B for August 32

SUBJECT.

Appeal regarding the removal to be
P.L. on the idols in Gunjam Narasimha Swami
temple T. Narasipur.

To. Adhyapaka Sri N. K
Ramaswamy Iyengar Retd Inspector
Teacher T Narasipur

No 302 f 22-8-32

Date Thaft There are no reasons
therefore in the Muz Com

Mez. abs No 20 of 31-32

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mez File No. | of 1931 - 2 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition of 16/3/32 from Athreyapaka V. R. Srinivasa Iyengar retired School Master T. Narasipur appealing against the decision of the Mez. Rev. Commissioner in the case on the file of this court in the matter of Vadahale Ramanuja having been put on the books in the Sri Gunjanarasimha Swamy temple T. Narasipur in place of Vilakkina Tonaga used under Standing orders of Government.

Petition of 18/3/32 from the same gentleman complaining that the head of Vadahale party Sri N. Anantharangachar has managed to have the Vadahale Namams put on the two new Garudavahanas and have also arranged for processions of the deities with said Vadahale Namams and praying for a stay order in the matter

Petition of 19/4/32 from the same gentleman praying that records may be called for and that he may be heard in the matter

(1) Certified copy of decision (brought by the petitioner) of the M.C. was handed by the H. Sce on 19/4 at 5.30 PM

(2) The petition is duly stamped and the appeal is in

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

1099
1090
1284

File No. of 193 1-2 General and Revenue Secretariat

Civil Petn is limited to para 3 of G.O No 5834-65 Misc. dt 17-9-22-6-06.
In view of the Tribunals order in Vague the prayer of the petitioner for a stay order may perhaps be complied, pending disposal of the appeal. ~~If the Tribunal be asked to direct the~~ ~~D/Ps~~ Call for records accordingly.

G.S. Petn 21/4 (in for Confidential & Rest No.

(1) No Stay order seems necessary. If approved the records may be called for.

Fr. Secy) 25/4/32

I agree that no stay order can be issued at this stage.

The records may be called for with a report on the appeal petition.

(Sd. Member) 26/4/32

G.O. No 1284 - Engd. Vacancies of the post is placed in the file G.S.

Accordingly 29/4

Action in the already part of it is made & sent for orders - D/P. intimating the cross-objection is also filed. B

G.S. 29/4 Memo 6 to the Petn is also filed.

29/4/32

Fr. Secy. Memo to Dept B - For perusal and return with the connected papers 29/4/32

Govt. Appeal No. 20 of 1931-32

77

Govt appl 20 of 31-32 regarding the Ordinance to be put on the idols in Sri Gunjanarasimha Swami temple - T. Narasipur

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 3 of 193 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1651 of 11.5.32 to the Govt sri Commissioner forwarding the appeal petition referred to therein for submission of records and report

No 1652 of 11.5.32 to the V. R. Srinivasa Iyengar stating that his request for a stay order cannot be granted.

Letter no 1431/31-32 of 13.4.32 from the Govt sri Commissioner forwarding records relating to the appeal case referred to therein.

S. W. O's order of 13.11.27 regarding the kind of Nama (Vadagale nama) is flagged A. This order was appealed against & the D.C. ordered on 14/7/29 that while rejecting the prescription of a Vadagale Nama by one Ananthacharya that of the Nama can hereto be replaced a new one of the same pattern may be prepared out of temple funds. Later on the S.D.O reported the recall of the meeting of Oduvanadars on 29.12.29 in regard to the kind of Nama (Vadagale Nama) to be purchased out of temple funds. The Sandur (Thr Kale Ananthacharya Raman) on 3/3/30 ordered on the application of one V. Srinivasayya & that the Tenkalai Nigalvar Nama prepared at a meeting of Nama dasas 2 out of 3 Oduvanadars that who have attended the meeting have to the use of Thilaka and

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 70—GBP\I—60,000—13 8 31

Mun. Appeal No. 20 of 1931-32

77

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mun. File No. 315 of 1931-2 — [App F. III—2

Serial No.

10 AUG 1932 No 945

Mah Vadahale Naman has been in use the H.C. has ordered that a Vadagale Naman may be used the question of having a new mark either Tilaka or Temple Naman does not seem to arise at all.

Whether the case has to be heard & decided or for orders.

8/8/32

(Sec'y)

(1st Member)

9/8

9/8

Not necessary

Please say that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the H.C.

10/8

10/8

1 AUG RECEIVED

Dft. ordered

G.S. 13/8

13/8

7 — No. 302 of 22/8/32 of the N. R. Srinivasa Iyengar retired School Master T. Narasipura intimating that there are no grounds for interfering with the order of the Munsiff Cauvery

Prevs ref 212 of 31-32

-No 303 of 22/8/32 of the Munsiff Cauvery

NOTE—Contin Sheet

15 8/8

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8-31

ಮುಚ್ಚಲಿಕೆ: 315-31-1 ಪಿ. ನಂ. 1050/31-32

23 3:32
1623

(I)

(II)

(III)

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] -2-31 [illegible] RH 834 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
1927 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 3-3-1933 [illegible] D.H 463/29 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
24-12-30 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Mortgage 17-97 Dated 22-6-65 5857-65

(VII)

(VIII)

ನೋಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಯಾರದ್ದು

ಕಾ,16-3-1932



Order of the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore:

Reference: —

His office order No. Apps: 31/29-30 Dated 15-3-30, observing that no enquiry seems to have been held on the question of 'Nama' to be used to Sri Gunjanarasimhaswamy at T. Narsipur and requesting the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, to state after enquiry whether the use of the Vadagale mark was ratified in 1870 and whether there is clear evidence of its use since then.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 834/29 dated 26-8-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District stating that not much of additional material could be gathered in the subsequent enquiry and opining that as the Namam used prior to 1927 (the date of its theft) was a Vadagale one the same may continue.

Order R. Dis 80/31-32 Dated 19-2-32.

As two Deputy Commissioners have found that the Namam used

he theft in 1927 was Vadagarai
the same may be continued.

Sd/S.P. Rajagopalachari
Muzrai Commissi

Copy applied for by Mr. M.R. Sreeni-
Vasiengar on 8-4-32
Copy ready on 16-4-32
Copy delivered on 18-4-32
No. of words 130
Copying 0-4-0
Comparing 0-0-8
Total 0-4-8
Copied by S Jagaramakrishna
Compared by Hanumantha Rao

"Copy"
Sd. V. Venkatachalam
Manager,
Muz: Comm's Office

Returned to the Sec on 19/4
at 5.30 pm

CPS 19/4

mmy: 315-31-2

g to see any Officer is
ueste to sen up his name, stating the
nature of his business.

Name N.R. Sreenivasiengar and others

Business—

To represent about the
maamum case of the Sree
Ramjanma asimka Sevarthy
Temple at Ayodhya.



of the petitioner undertaking
a Signed copy of the Memorandum
once with having been complied with
who Complained to this Court at 5.30 P.M.
Certified Copy handed

Judl 3

MAR 6 1932

Judl: 31 & 31 2-

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

193 2.

Order

No 1679

Name of petitioner Srinivasa Iyengar Adhyapaka. Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami temple

Village T. Narasipur

Taluk Do

District Mysore

Date of petition —

Date of receipt 23.3.32

No. of enclosures Nil

Confl Secretary
with compliments
180.

for SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

ABSTRACT.

Complains that the Vadagale Srivaishnava party of the temple of Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami ~~Devaru temple~~, T. Narasipur have removed the Tirtha marks on the two Vahanams of the temple and substituted Vadagale Namams in their place. They have also arranged for the processions of Sri Narasimhaswami on the said Vahanams which are likely to cause breach of peace between the two communities. Therefore he prays the Govt order may be issued against the conducting of processions on the said cars.





OF MYSORE.

Date of Receipt 23.3.32

1619

ತಾ|| 18-3-1932

GENERAL SECTT. 1080 29. MAR. 1932 MUZRAI.
FOREIGN DEPTT. 28 MAR 1932

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

1932 J

8/28/3 15

Order

No 1623

Name of petitioner Srinivasa Iyengar
Adhyapaka
Sri Gunjanarasimhaswami Temple

Village T. Narasipura

Taluk -

District Mysore

Date of petition -

Date of receipt 23.3.32

No. of enclosures nil

ABSTRACT.

In submitting an appeal for the settlement of the dispute of the parties of Vadagalai & Tengalai Sri Vaishnavas of T. Narasipura in regard to the question of adopting a Tilaka or a Vadagalai Namam to be put on the idol of Sri Narasimha Swami Devaru, prays that the Dewan may be pleased to grant a date of hearing for both the parties to place their grievances before him in person for his consideration. Submitted.

12 Sw 23/3

Financial Secretary
with compts
RO
Gunjanarasimha
P.C.D



1 2of

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Misc 315-31-3

1932

1/814

Order

No 1787

Name of petitioner

Srinivasa Iyengar

Village T. Narasipura

Taluk do

District Mysore

Date of petition

Date of receipt 16.4.32

No. of enclosures nil.

ABSTRACT.

Prays for a date to be fixed for hearing the case in regard to the dispute of Vadagale & Tengales at the temple of Sri Gunja narasimha Swami Devaru at T. Narasipura in respect of the Navaan to be adopted &c.

Enclosures
Nil
16/4

Inspr. Secretary
with compliments in
continuation of this
Office Misc No. 1620
dated 22.3.32.
B.O.

16.4.32

17.8.)

ಕಂ ಚಿ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯ ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿ - ಯಾವ ಸ್ವಯಂಪ್ರೇರಿತ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿರಲು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಸ್ತನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲು
ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅನ್ಯಾಯದ ಯುದ್ಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತರ್ಯುದ್ಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಲ್ಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅಲ್ಲ

(1) ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳು ಯಾವಾಗ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ
ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ
ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು - ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸಹ ತಡಮಾಡದೆ ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನೂ
ಮಾಡದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳು
RH 834 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಹೊಸ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-3-32ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ 17-2-32ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕದ
ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ನಿಯಮಗಳು

(2) ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಅವುಗಳೆಂದರೆ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸೈನಿಕರಿಗೆ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದು
ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಮೊದಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು
ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು - ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ನಂ 80/31-32 ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕ 17/19-2-32ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ
ಮೂಲಕ ಹೊಸ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

(3) ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಅವುಗಳೆಂದರೆ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸೈನಿಕರಿಗೆ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದು
ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಮೊದಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು - ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ನಂ 80/31-32 ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕ 17/19-2-32ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ
ಮೂಲಕ ಹೊಸ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಪತ್ರ 6-4-1932

Order of the Mysore Commissioner in My Reference

This office order not appeal 31/29-30 dated 15.5.30 observing that no inquiry seems to have been held on the question of the "Nam to be used to Sri Gunjanarasimhaswamy at Talakad and requesting the deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to state, after enquiry, whether the use of the Vadagale mark was ratified in 1870 and whether there is clear evidence of its use since then.

Correspondence ending with letter no 834 dated 26-8-31 from the deputy Commissioner Mysore District, stating that not much of additional material could be gathered in the subsequent enquiry and opining that as the Namam used prior to 1927 (the date of its theft) was a Vadagale on the same may continue.

Order no: R. Dis 80/31-32. Dated Bangalore 17-2-32

The two deputy commissioners have found that the Namam used prior to theft in 1927 was Vadagale, the same may be continued.

Sd/- T. Ramaiya.
For murugai Com

Com pol. I
Klr

———————o———————

"Copy"

Babyaffice on 1-4-32.
" Readyon 4-4-32
" delivered on 5-4-32
no. of words. 126

Lobbying fee 0-4-0
Company fee 0-1-0
Postage cost 0-0-8

Total 0-5-3.

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
1234
1 APR. 1932
MYSORE.

The Financial Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
Public Offices, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Appeal petition of the Tengalai Sri Vaishnavas of T. Narsipur against order No. R.Dis.80/31-32 dated 17/19 th. February 32 passed by the Revenue & Muzari Commissioner in Mysore stating that Vadagalai may be continued on the forehead of Sri Gunjanarasihma Swamy.

NOTE ;- A regular appeal petition in Kanarese (together with the authenticated copy of the order under appeal) has already been submitted on 16 th. March 32 and this may kindly be considered as an explanatory note in addition to the appeal petition.

GROUNDS FOR APPEAL ;-

(1) The Muzarai Commissioner has decided the matter only on the recommendations of two Deputy Commissioners and it seems to us that all the relavent records are not perused by the Hon'ble Officer. As such the order is vague and one sided.

(2) This particular question of Namam is pending ever since 1870 and so far no final proceedings from Government has been passed.

(3) When the appeal and the records were pending the then existed "NAMAM" was stolen away in the year 1927. Thereupon on presentation of a Vadagalai Namam by the Vadagali leader Mr. Anantarangachar the same was ordered to be put on by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Nanjangud contrary to the standing Government Orders and Muzarai Manual.(Vide Page 124 of the Muzarai of 1919 No. 745/8-11-1894 and Order No. Gl.3936-46] dated

15-2-1907). The mere action on the part of a Vadagali - leader presenting his own mark and ordering to put on the same on his responsibility by the Sub-Divisional Officer themselves speak to the fact of the matter being dealt with a high handed ness.

(4) Subsequently, on an appeal before the then Muzarai Commissioner Mr. P.G.D'Souza Saheb, the matter after due enquiry and - inspection was referred back to the Deputy Commissioner. Whereupon, the then Deputy Commissioner after much correspondence, enquiries, inspection and after obtaining the opinion of the - Dharmadarsies of the temple ordered that TILAKAM should be put on (Vide his order No.463/29 dated 3-3-1930). This decission of the Deputy Commissioner, we submit is in accordance to the - standing Government Orders. Consequently a Tilakam at the cost of Government Funds was prepared and at the time of putting the same the Vadagalai party got a stay order against this order - of the Deputy Commissioner for which so far our knowledge goes was no necessity as this could have lead to no serious squabble or any such thing.

(5) The Naman which was prior to 1927 itself was not a genuine one and then alone there existed appeals and protests etc. whid were not finally decided. Even the subsequent and existing - Namams are likewise unauthorised in as much as they both come from Vadagalai party as Sevartham from Mr. Anantarangachar and Namagiriyamma etc.

(6) The original mark on the foreheads from Muladevaru onwards to Suttugudi there exists TILAKAM and to this fact there are - ample proofs and agreements. As such according to common rule whatever the original is the same should be the covers also. It will not be out of place if we submit ~~here~~ a few anologies here for your kind perusal and reference :-

(a) In Seringapatam to Laxminarasimhaswamy Temple a Vadagala Naman was forwarded by Sri Parakalswamygal and the same was put on. When this matter was brought to the notice of Government this Vadagali Nama was removed immediately and a TILAKAM was ordered to be put on (Vide Order No. 1113 of 1900)

(b)

(b) In Prasanna Krishnaswamy Temple at Mysore, during the regeme of late Mr. V.N.Narasimha Iyengar six Vadagale Namas were put on six different idols and even the Surepanes etc were marked with Vadagale. When this question arose a final Government order has been issued that TILAKAM alone should be put on -Vide proceedings No. 5857-65/Muz.17-97 dated 22-6-1906

(c) In the very temple of Sri Gunjanarasihmaswamy at T.Narsipur, when Hanumatavahanum and Garudapatam were repainted it has been decided that TILAKAM should be put on.

These as well as various other examples in the Muzarai Department go to prove that if any Namams (whether Vadagali or Tengalai) are defaced or lost, TILAKAMS, a common mark should be put on and to this general ruling we may submit Sri Gunjanarasihmaswamy may not be an exception.

(7) To add to this, the fater of Mr. Anantarangachar the present leader, who was lealing the Vadagali party then himself have given a confession during 1917 that he is not aware when Vadagali was put on to Sri Gunjanarasihmaswamy and that he cannot give sufficient proof to the effect that this temple is a Vadagali Temple. The patti of 1874 is not an authenticated Government records in as much as this records finds no place in either Taluk or District Office.

(8) As for the correspondence ending with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 834/29 dated 26 th. August 31 read in the Muzarai Commissioner's order under appeal, we submit the order of the Deputy Commissioner may be onesided in as much as no enquiry or any statements were taken by the Tengalai party. In fact we are not aware for such recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner the valid reasons or records tending.

(9) TILAKAM is the mark which every Hindu could respect The Temple is maintained under Government Tasdik provided for in the State Budget and as such cannot be allowed to be a monopoly of a minor sect of Vadagali party. Each rate payer might be allowed his right. Owing to the Vadagale mark being put on we are lead to believe that some Bhaktas may not be willing to visit the temple which is considered to be a public place.

PRAYER ;-

PRAYER :-

Under the above circumstances, we pray that the existing unauthorised Vadagalai Namam may kindly be ordered to be replaced with a TILAKAM a common and the original mark on the Sila Vigraham which is unobjectional to Sastras and the putting of which (Tilakam) is in accordance to the existing proceedings of Government. We submit the prayer is simple and common to all without any prejudice (far, even though we belong to Tengalai party we are not asking for a Tengalai nama to be put on but are asking for justice equitable to ~~the~~ one and all) which if put will cease all quarrells prevailing from a long time.

We pray for a hearing and proper enquiry in the matter and early orders.

We beg toremain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

N. C. Srinivasa Iyengar

3/35 Srinivasa Iyengar

Bangalore City

BANGALORE

20 th. April 1932.

Address :-

N.R.Srinivasa Iyengar,
Adyapaka, Retd.Teacher
T.Narsipur.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No 1651/Muz/Enc 166 *Dated, Bangalore.* 7th May 1932.

Muz: 315-31-6

To be shall quickly Returned.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Muzrai Appeal Petition No. 20 of 1931-32, Registered No. 1000 dated the 16th March 1932 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Mr. Adhvaraka N.R. Srinivasaiengar, Retired School Master, T. Narsipur, appealing against Order No. R. Dis. 80/31-32 dated the 10th February 1932 of the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of Vadagalai Namams being used on the idols in place of Tilakams in Sri Gundunarasimha swami temple, T. Narsipur, is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for submission of entire records connected with the case together with a report on the appeal petition.

By order,

For Financial Secretary to Government

No. 1652/ Secy

Memo No. 1652./Secy. 315-31-5

Copy is forwarded to Dy. AG
Taka R. Srinivasamurthy, Std. School
Master, L. Narsipur. His request for
his stay order cannot be granted

Sd/ B. Rao.
for DC: Secy to

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

To be consolidated with O.S. No. 1 of 1931-32

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 165 / [illegible] Dated April 20 1931-32

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. ~~[illegible]~~ 1090 dated 16/3/32
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Sri Abhyasaka
N. R. Srinivasa Iyengar
Retd School master T. Narasipura
appealing against order
No. R Dis 80/31-32 &
19.2-32 of the M.C
in the matter of Vadakale
Namams being used
on the idols in place of
Tilakams in Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple
T. Narasipura

is forwarded, together with its enclosures
to the M.C
for [illegible] of
entire records connected
with the case together with
a report on the appeal

By Order,

29/4/32

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
21/4/1932

[P. T. O.

2/4

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8 8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು. .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

Section Misc

File No. 315-31

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1652/Judl

To

Sahayapaka N K. Srinivasa Iyengar - retd. School master - T. Nanjanagudu

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue .....

Signed by .. ..

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

The applicant Sahayaka cannot be granted. He applied in his petn of 18/8/32 praying that a stay order be issued directing that no proceedings of the death of Sri Gurumanamma shall be taken T. Nanjangud should take place on the new Gundavahanam with Vadahale Kaveramma N. K. Srinivasa Iyengar is informed his request cannot be complied

R-O

29/4/32

Dr See below

Dr Deptt.

Clg to M-C- for necessary action

R-O

29/4/32

Dr See below

Deptt.

G.S

29/4

No. 1431/31-32
Encl 37 files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 11th July. ..... 193 2.

13

grmy. 315-31-6

FROM S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., P.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
15 JUL 1932
77
MUZRAI.

S. Shamanna, Esq., B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
..... Financial . . *Department.*

1241-7

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No.1651/Muz. dated 11-5-32, calling for the records connected with the use of Namams instead of Tilakams, on the idols in Sri Gunjanarasimhaswami temple, T.Narasipur, I have the honor to submit herewith the 2 files pertaining to the subject received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 1066/31 dated 6-7-32 and to state that the circumstances under which a Vadagale Namam was ordered to be put on the idol have been explained in this office order No.R.Dis.80/31-32 dated 19-2-32. The file containing this order together with the record in App.31/29-30 is herewith enclosed.

2. The petition received with your Memo under advertance is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Section Mis

File No. 315-31.

Serial No. 7.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 302

Encl. 3 Files

To

Adyapaka N. R. Srinivasa Iyengar.
Retd School master. T. Narasipur.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 22. 8. 32

Subject :- Mis appl per no 20 of 1931-32 appealing against the decision of the M.C. directing the continuance of the vadahale name in Sri Ramajanacharimakaravaru lane in T. Narasipur.

Signed by H. V. B. Murthy Asst

Ref to his appl dt 16 3 32 on the above subject, Adyapaka Sri N. R. Srinivasa Iyengar is informed that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the M.C. in the matter.

B. O.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

GS

received ellen Rec 1431
31-32
of 13.7.32. are removed herewith. (under
Correction
in The Form Copy)

B. O

SS
17/8/32

17/8/32
For Secretary
Dept

SS
17/8